

Sand budgets for coastal management in NSW

Heiko Loehr & Evan Watterson

NSW Coastal Conference 2022





What is a sand budget?

Potential sand budget inputs/outputs

- Longshore sand transport
- Beach/cliff erosion
- Aeolian sand transport/ dunes
- Shoreface sand supply/ offshore losses
- Coastal lakes and estuaries
- Biogenic production
- Erosion limiting factors (bed rock, coffee rock,..)
- Cyclic variability due wave climate
 - Headland bypassing
 - Beach rotation
 - Cross embayment transport
- Climate change impacts on sand movements





Case study - Stockton Bight

Study area

- 32km long beach (NSW's longest beach)
- Largest active dune system in Australia
- One of the highest wave energy beaches in NSW
- Grades from highly developed in the south to natural along its central and northern sections (mostly)
- Downdrift from Port of Newcastle



bluecoast



Sand budget - Stockton Bight

Purpose of this study

- Stage 2 of Stockton CMP follows sediment compartment wide approach encouraged by Coastal Management Act 2016
- Ultimately, the study seeks to inform sound coastal management into the future





Sand budget - Stockton Bight

Data used

- Subaqueous storages
 - Historical bathymetric surveys from 1866 to 2018.
 - Limited coverage over northern Bight use of satellite derived bathymetry
- Subaerial storages
 - Beach profiles from 1953 to 2020. Stockton + Fern Bay
 - Northern subaerial storages assessed using satellite derived shoreline change (CoastSat)
- **Dune sheet** compartment assessed using Nearmaps 3D and LiDAR
- Sensitivity analysis completed











<section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>



Sand budget - Stockton Bight

Volume differences

Compartm	nent 1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Compartm Area (m	nent 3,842,27 2)	'1	998,478	722,599	2,211,858	1,642,237	1,502,772	2,589,952	6,124,734	4,940,111	3,385,692
					Volume loss	(m ³) relative to 2	2018				
186	6	-		3,557,757	6,635,863	-		-	-	-	
189	19	-	-	3,733,369	4,5217,95	3,710,343	3,314,104	-	-	-	
190	19		-	4,196,072	3,897,621	-	-	-	-	-	
191	3			4,280,922	4,338,313	-	-	-	-	-	
192	:1	-	-	3,507,018	3,910,804	4,180,107	-	-	-	-	
192	16	-		5,597,229	3,938,704	4,230,474	-	-	-	-	
> 195	i0	-	-	3,132,118	2,206,424	2,850,520	-	-	-	-	
2 195	57	-	-2,082,451	3,129,213	2,006,998	2,237,630	1,459,643	-	-	-	
198	162,2	85		137,695	1,429,336	1,721,124	1,836,225	4,761,267	-	-	
la 199	15	-	-	-	829,893	1,494,680	-	-	-	-	
200	10	-		1,891,813	493,237	867,584	-	-	-	-	
200	-167,5	75	-115,039	244,260	417,654	630,852	454,507	648,598	-	-	
200	17		-	400,192	409,488	651,785	449,090	385,822	-		
201	0	-	-	-	-	-	-		(L)	-	-1,514,63
201	2	-	-	121		-		-	3,200,982	-1,669,040	
201	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	









bluecoast

SUITING ENGIN

Case study - Letitia Beach

Study area

- 3.6km long east-northeast facing beach
- Located to the south of the Tweed River entrance
- Training walls extended in 1960s
- Sand collection jetty just south of the Tweed River entrance (commenced in 2001)
- Average annual sand pumping volume of around 425,000m³/year (since 2008)
- Average annual dredging volume of around 130,000m³/year (periodic)





19

•

•



